IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

In re: MIGDALIA ESPINET : Chapter 13

Debtor,

.

MIGDALIA ESPINET : Case No. 5:19-bk-2874

:

Objector,

v.

:

Merrick Bank, :

Claimant, :

OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 3 OF Merrick Bank

AND NOW COMES Debtor Migdalia Espinet, and brings Objection to Proof of Claim No. 3 of Merrick Bank, and in support thereof states:

- 1. Claimant Merrick Bank filed Proof of Claim No. 3 on July 22, 2019.
- 2. It is unclear who Claimant is as Resurgent Capital Services seems to allege that it has authority or has acquired the account of debtor yet only provide a generic power of attorney which does not specifically identify Debtor or debtor's account nor whether Merrick or Resurgent is able to or trying to collect.
- 3. Merrick Bank, has not established itself to be a holder in due course nor to possess any right to collect the instant account as it may have assigned the account.
- 4. As such claimant fails to qualify as a creditor under 11 USC 101.
- 5. Debtor seeks a determination that Proof of Claim No. 3 be disallowed in it's entirety.

WHEREFORE, Debtor Migdalia Espinet prays that the Proof of Claim # 3 of Merrick Bank be disallowed in its entirety, and for such other and further relief as the Honorable Court deems just and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Mark E. Moulton
Mark E. Moulton,
Attorney for Debtor
Moulton & Moulton, PC
693 RTE 739 STE #1
Lords Valley, PA 18428